

1 UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
2 FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT  
3

4 SUMMARY ORDER  
5

6 THIS SUMMARY ORDER WILL NOT BE PUBLISHED IN THE FEDERAL  
7 REPORTER AND MAY NOT BE CITED AS PRECEDENTIAL AUTHORITY TO  
8 THIS OR ANY OTHER COURT, BUT MAY BE CALLED TO THE ATTENTION  
9 OF THIS OR ANY OTHER COURT IN A SUBSEQUENT STAGE OF THIS CASE,  
10 IN A RELATED CASE, OR IN ANY CASE FOR PURPOSES OF COLLATERAL  
11 ESTOPPEL OR RES JUDICATA.  
12

13 At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit,  
14 held at the United States Courthouse, Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the  
15 eighth day of October Two thousand four.  
16

17 PRESENT:

18 ROGER J. MINER  
19 JOSÉ A. CABRANES  
20 CHESTER J. STRAUB  
21 *Circuit Judges*  
22 -----x  
23

24 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
25

26 *Plaintiff-Appellee,*  
27

28 -v.-

No. 04-0115

29  
30 SUSAN DOZORTSEV, BLUE RIBBON SMOKED  
31 FISH, INC., JAY SUTTENBERG, PABLO NEGRON,  
32

33 *Defendants-Appellants.*  
34 -----x  
35

36 APPEARING FOR APPELLANTS: RUSSELL K. STATMAN, Law Office of Russell K.  
37 Statman, Plattsburgh, New York  
38

39 APPEARING FOR APPELLEE: SANDRA L. LEVY, Assistant United States  
40 Attorney (Varuni Nelson, Assistant United States  
41 Attorney, *of counsel*, Roslynn R. Mauskopf,  
42 United States Attorney, *on the brief*), United States

1 Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of New  
2 York, Brooklyn, New York  
3

4 Appeal from a Judgment of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of  
5 New York (Charles P. Sifton, *Judge*).  
6

7 **UPON DUE CONSIDERATION, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED,**  
8 **AND DECREED** that the judgment of the District Court is hereby **AFFIRMED**.  
9

10 Defendants appeal from a judgment incorporating an Amended Final Order of  
11 Permanent Injunction. We previously considered this case in *United States v. Blue Ribbon*  
12 *Smoked Fish, Inc.*, 56 Fed. Appx. 542 (2d Cir. 2003), in which we remanded the case to the  
13 District Court for modification of the permanent injunction consistent with our order.

14 We hold that the Amended Final Order of Permanent Injunction is consistent with the  
15 guidelines we set forth in our order of January 28, 2003.

16 We have considered all of defendants' claims on appeal and find each of them to be  
17 without merit. We therefore **AFFIRM** the judgment of the District Court.  
18

19 FOR THE COURT,  
20 Roseann B. MacKechnie, Clerk of Court  
21

22 By \_\_\_\_\_  
23